

#22

Emnekode / Course code	INFO282
Emnetittel / Course title	Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
Semester	Høst 2021
Emneansvarlig / Course coordinator	Rustam Galimullin
Sist evaluert (semester / år) / Last evaluation (semester / year)	Høst 2018

Hva er emnets undervisnings- og vurderingsform? / What are the teaching methods and forms of assessment used in the course?

The course consisted of weekly lectures (both Zoom and in-class) and seminars. There was an additional Q&A session at the beginning of the course to address questions on the difficult topic of first-order logic.

For assessment purposes, there were six obligatory assignments. If a student failed an assignment, there was a separate 'retake assignment' on the same topic.

Oppfølging fra tidligere evalueringer / Follow up from previous evaluations

-

Evalueringemetode(er) / Form of evaluation	Student evaluation
---	--------------------

Sammendrag av studentene sin evaluering / Summarize the results from the student evaluation

We have received evaluation from seven students. Overall, the results are quite positive, scoring above 5 in many categories. One of the students, however, was dissatisfied with their seminar leader and marked the course very low, which impacted the averages. In particular, averages for lectures and course overall are high (above 5), and averages for seminars are lower.

In particular, everyone, apart from one student, seem to have had positive experience with the course. Comments include: 'Very interesting and important course.' and 'My favourite course this semester. I've had a lot of fun with it.'

The only negative comment I give here in full:

,

I felt discouraged from turning in assignments, as i felt the person grading them was unnecessarily rude. He seemed to have no understanding for why a question might be answered wrong. Instead of getting a suggestion as to how i should have answered, so that it would be correct, i got feedback essentially telling me I was stupid for not understanding.

,

Emneansvarligs evaluering / The course coordinator's evaluation

Overall, I think that the course was a success. TA's did a great job. Students were active during the lectures and asked questions, and seemed generally interested in the topic.

**Last opp karakterfordeling her
(Du finner den i Inspera, alternativt kan
du ta kontakt med administrativ
kontaktperson)**

**Upload the grade distribution here
(You'll find it in Inspera, you can also
contact the administrative contact**

person)

[studentServlet.pdf](#)

Evt. kommentar til karakterfordeling / Comments on the grade distribution

The exam results are very positive. Lots of A's, some B's. There are a couple of D's though. On the whole, I think that obligatory assignments really helped the students to ace the exam. Almost no one had significant problems with resolutions and FOL.

Mål for neste evalueringsperiode - forbedringstiltak? / Goals for the next evaluation period - what can be improved?

Taking into the account the negative comment about one of the TAs, I think it is maybe worthwhile to remind students that if they are unhappy with their TA, they can always write to the course instructor, and they will find a solution.
