

## #41

<b>Emnekode / Course code</b>	INFO215
<b>Emnetittel / Course title</b>	Web Science
<b>Semester</b>	Spring
<b>Emneansvarlig / Course coordinator</b>	Fazle Rabbi
<b>Sist evaluert (semester / år) / Last evaluation (semester / year)</b>	Spring 2021

### **Hva er emnets undervisnings- og vurderingsform? / What are the teaching methods and forms of assessment used in the course?**

2 hour Lecture in physical classroom for 14 weeks. The lecture sessions were streamed and recorded stream were available in mittUiB.

2 hours Seminar in every week for 14 weeks.

There were six obligatory assignment. All of them needed to be approved to be qualified for the exam.

The final exam was a 4 hour written examination. There were 50 multiple choice questions and 5 broad questions in the exam.

### **Oppfølging fra tidligere evalueringer / Follow up from previous evaluations**

Quiz questions and previous years exam questions were used for preparations.

<b>Evalueringemetode(er) / Form of evaluation</b>	Survey (Anonymous)
---	--------------------

### **Sammendrag av studentene sin evaluering / Summarize the results from the student evaluation**

Majority of the students found the lectures and seminar were interesting, relevant, up to date. Overall the students were satisfied and they would recommend the course.

Several students replied that the workload was not very high.

Few students have mentioned that the exam was very difficult as they had to memorise programming code during the school exam.

### **Emneansvarligs evaluering / The course coordinator's evaluation**


More exercises need to be included so that the students can develop their skill.

The difficulty of obligatory exercises may be increased.

printed materials should be allowed to use during the school exam as it is not crucial in real life to memorise programming syntax. As long as students can demonstrate their ability to construct programs to solve problems, it should be enough to determine the grades in the assessment.

**Last opp karakterfordeling her  
(Du finner den i Inspera, alternativt kan du ta kontakt med administrativ kontaktperson)**

**Upload the grade distribution here  
(You'll find it in Inspera, you can also contact the administrative contact person)**



Grade	Starts from mark	Candidates	Of total	Average mark
A	80.00	13	12.04%	85.23
B	70.00	24	22.22%	73.25
C	51.00	53	49.07%	60.51
D	34.00	13	12.04%	43.54
E	30.00	4	3.70%	30.00
F	0.00	1	0.93%	23.00

[Screenshot 2022-08-04 at 13.07.49.png](#)

### **Evt. kommentar til karakterfordeling / Comments on the grade distribution**

The students did very well in the exam. 12% students got A, 22% got B, 49% got C, 12% got C, 3% got E and less than 1% got F.

Majority of the students did very well in the MCQ part while some students found it challenging to answer to the broad questions.

### **Mål for neste evalueringsperiode - forbedringstiltak? / Goals for the next evaluation period - what can be improved?**

The syllabus needs to be slightly updated with more materials of mining data from the social web. The latest version of Twitter API could be used which provides more metadata information.