Review of ARK305 - Fall 2021 summary / 86 % (12/14) students responded

1)   Was the course as you expected?

Most said yes; some wrote that more theories and examples, plus a history of theory (which would have been Randi's) were expected.

    1a) If not, what had you expected?
    Bit more even distributed between Theory and Method (of course: if we had not lost Randi, then it would have been a bit more)

2)   Which lectures worked well, and why?

Historical archaeology

Arch Dating lecture

Environmental Archaeology

Typology

Taught in person: All easily accessible and understandable

3)   Which lectures did not work well, and why?

   GIS is difficult via zoom

   Networks and landscape seminar and lectures – too difficult online

4)   What could be improved about the course?
   GIS and statistics : math concepts explained prior to in-depth lecture

   More practical examples … or , examples demonstrating methods

   in practice

5)   Do the readings provide enough background information?

   Yes, but Network theory part and GIS heavy.

   4a) If not, what is missing?
   Intro to Network Theory

   Add info on less "mainstream" theories would have been nice
6)   If you could change one particular thing about the course, what would it be?

   Physical lectures; easier intro to GIS; history of theory (part of Randi's lecture which needed to be cancelled in H21)