

## Student Evaluation of HEPRO 304

Please comment on:

1. The content:

It has been a very good and helpful course overall. It was very good that we came all the way to regression analysis, because then we have got the most relevant statistics covered. Some said that they got a bit stressed when they heard in the first lecture that it was not certain how far we were going to get.

It was very good that the most important statistical terms were explained.

2. The teaching & learning methods used

- Lectures:

In the lectures we had to do the things we went through on our own computers, which was very good. Then everyone got the chance to really understand and do the things right before we moved on.

- Labs:

The labs helped us practice what we learned in the lectures. They also helped us getting started on our work. It was a very relaxed atmosphere, so we felt like we could ask “stupid questions” without problems.

In the beginning, it was a bit inconsistency between the lectures and the labs. It did not seem like the tutors knew what we were supposed to do (at least in the first two labs).

3. The outcome: annotations:

Everyone said that the annotations have been confusing. None of us really knew what we were supposed to annotate. Some said that they wanted to annotate the additional exercises instead of the procedure in the book.

4. What are the strongest features of this course, what contributes most to your learning?

The strongest feature of this course is the way it has been organized. The combination between lectures and labs has given us a chance to practice – and constant practice helps us getting better!

The book is very good.

5. What specific suggestions do you have for changes to improve the course or how it is taught?

Everyone said that they wanted more lectures, so that the statistical terms could be explained even more thoroughly.

We need more clarity around the annotations. All of us have had different perceptions of what we were supposed to annotate, so the tutors need to explain this clearly in the beginning of the first lab.

Some said that there should be a guarantee that we would reach a certain level in the book.

6. The pace of the course:

The pace of the course was too slow in the beginning, and too fast at the end.