

## EMNERAPPORT – INSTITUTT FOR BIOMEDISIN

ANNUAL EVALUATION REPORT – DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICINE

Emnekode: <i>COURSE CODE:</i>	<b>BMED325</b>	Semester / år:	<b>Høst / Autumn 2016</b>
Emnenavn: <i>COURSE NAME:</i>	<i>Cellular Biochemistry and Nanobiochemistry</i>	SEMESTER / YEAR:	
Emneansvarlig: <i>COURSE COORDINATOR:</i>	<b>Lars Skjærven</b>	Godkjent: <i>APPROVED:</i> (admin.)	Undervisningsmøte IBM 15.02.2017
Rapporteringsdato: <i>DATE OF REPORT:</i>	<b>07.02.2017</b>		

### INNLEDNING / INTRODUCTION:

Kort beskrivelse av emnet, inkl. studieprogramtilhørighet. Kommentarer om evt. oppfølging av tidligere evalueringer.

*SHORT COURSE DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING WHICH STUDENTS/CANDIDATES MAY ATTEND. COMMENTS TO CHANGES BASED ON PRIOR EVALUATIONS.*

*Cellular Biochemistry and Nanobiochemistry* (5 ECTS) is a mandatory course for students attending Master's Programme in Nanoscience (MAMN-NANO) who are going for a master project in nanobiology.

The main goal for the course is to give the student a theoretical overview of methods and technology frequently applied in biomedical nanotechnology, and hands-on experience of a few selected methods. The course starts with lectures for several weeks, and continues with experimental laboratory work under supervision.

For course description, visit <http://www.uib.no/en/course/BMED325>

3 students were registered for the course autumn semester 2016.

### STATISTIKK / STATISTICS (admin.):

Antall vurderingsmeldte studenter: <i>NUMBER OF CANDIDATES REGISTERED FOR EXAMINATION:</i>	<b>3</b>	Antall studenter møtt til eksamen: <i>NUMBER OF CANDIDATES ATTENDED EXAMINATION:</i>	<b>3</b>				
Karakterskala GRADING SCALE	«A-F»	A:	B:	C:	D:	E:	F:
		-	3	-	-	-	-

### KOMMENTARER TIL KARAKTERFORDELINGEN / COMMENTS TO THE STATISTICS:

Emnerapporten utarbeides når sensuren etter ordinær eksamen i emnet er klar. For muntlige eksamener er da resultatfordelingen endelig, men for skriftlige eksamener kan endelig resultatfordeling avvike noe om evt. klagebehandling ikke er fullført.

*THIS REPORT IS PREPARED AFTER ORDINARY EXAMINATION. FOR ORAL EXAMS, THE RESULTS ARE FINAL, FOR WRITTEN EXAMS, THE FINAL GRADING DISTRIBUTION MAY DIFFER SLIGHTLY IF CANDIDATE COMPLAINTS/APPEALS HAVE NOT BEEN PROCESSED.*

**SAMMENDRAG AV STUDENTENE SINE TILBAKEMELDINGER / SUMMARY OF EVALUATIONS GIVEN BY THE STUDENTS**

Spørreundersøkelse via Mitt UiB, annen evaluering, tilbakemelding fra tillitsvalgte og/eller andre.

*COURSE EVALUATION ON MITT UIB, OTHER EVALUATIONS, RESPONSES FROM THE STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES AND/OR OTHERS.*

Only 1 out of 3 students gave response via the evaluation scheme at the course page at My space.

The questionnaire included questions where the students were asked to give their assessments on a scale, as well as questions that asked for feedback and input with the students' own words. Students were asked to provide feedback on the topic as a whole and on their individual 4 week rotation in the lab.

**EMNEANSVARLIG SIN EVALUERING OG VURDERING / EVALUATION AND COMMENTS BY COURSE COORDINATOR:**

Faglæreres vurderinger av emnet. *TEACHER COMMENTS.*

Eksempel: Kommentarer om praktisk gjennomføring, undervisnings- og vurderingsformer, evt. endringer underveis, studieinformasjon på nett og Mitt UiB, litteraturtilgang, samt lokaler og utstyr.

*EXAMPLE: COMMENTS ABOUT PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION, TEACHING AND ASSESSMENT METHODS, IF NECESSARY. FUTURE CHANGES/CHANGES IN PROGRESS, STUDY INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET AND MITT UIB, LITERATURE ACCESS, LOCALES AND EQUIPMENT.*

I included a separate lecture on scientific writing in order to give more guidelines for the lab report. I used the book "writing scientific research articles" by Cargill og O'Connor.

I received good feedback on the course- in particular the lab part- but also the individual lectures.

**MÅL FOR NESTE UNDERVISNINGSPERIODE – FORBEDRINGSTILTAK / PLANNED CHANGES FOR THE NEXT TEACHING PERIOD – HOW TO BE BETTER:**

We could introduce stricter guidelines on the lab reports – e.g. author guidelines from a journal where it is more detailed description on the length and format of the "article". Guidelines from PNAS could be a good template for this (6 pages). This will provide the students with more concrete guidelines and would facilitate more objective evaluation of the reports.